USERAUTHGUARD

BLOG CASE STUDIES

How Union City Cut Device Loss by 75% Across 17,000 Students

Stef Verleysen | April 11, 2026

> Case study of how Union City School District in New Jersey reduced Chromebook loss by 75% across 17,000 students using 1:1 assignment tracking, parent accountability, and regular audits.

Union City School District in New Jersey is not the kind of place where technology programs run themselves. With over 17,000 students spread across more than 20 schools, a dense urban footprint, and a population where over 90% of families qualify for free or reduced lunch, every dollar in the technology budget has to work hard. When the district launched its 1:1 Chromebook initiative, the stakes were high and the margin for error was thin.

- Implement a clean inventory system to accurately track all devices from the start.
- Engage families as partners through clear communication and reasonable policies to foster accountability.
- Automate device detection with alerts to quickly identify and recover missing devices.
- Make device health data visible to all stakeholders to drive ownership and continued investment.

Three years into the program, Union City has achieved something remarkable: a **75% reduction in annual device loss**, saving the district an estimated $420,000 per year in replacement costs. This case study examines how they did it, what challenges they overcame, and what other large urban districts can learn from their experience.

District Profile: Understanding the Scale

Union City is the most densely populated city in New Jersey and one of the most densely populated in the United States. The school district serves a diverse, predominantly Hispanic community with

deep roots and strong family ties but also significant economic challenges. Understanding this context is essential to understanding why their device management success is so impressive.

- **Total enrollment:** 17,200 students across Pre-K through 12th grade
- **Number of schools:** 22 buildings including elementary, middle, high school, and alternative programs
- **Title I status:** 100% of schools qualify as Title I, meaning the vast majority of families are economically disadvantaged
- **Device fleet:** 18,500 Chromebooks (including spare and loaner inventory)
- **IT staff:** 12 full-time technicians, 1 IT director, 2 network administrators
- **Languages spoken:** Over 15 home languages, with Spanish as the predominant non-English language

The district's size and demographics create unique device management challenges. Many families live in multi-generational households where a Chromebook might be shared among siblings. Apartment-to-apartment mobility is high, with some students changing addresses multiple times per year. And with 22 buildings to manage, consistency across locations requires deliberate systems, not just good intentions.

The Problem: Hundreds of Devices Unaccounted For

In the first year of the 1:1 program, Union City distributed Chromebooks to every student in grades 3 through 12, roughly 12,000 devices. By the end of that school year, the numbers were alarming.

- **742 devices could not be accounted for** during end-of-year collection, a loss rate of 6.2%
- **1,300 additional devices had damage** that had not been reported through any official channel
- **Replacement and repair costs exceeded $560,000**, blowing past the $200,000 the district had budgeted for attrition
- **Asset records were unreliable:** The IT team estimated that their spreadsheet-based tracking was only about 78% accurate, meaning they could not be certain which student had which device at any given time

The root causes were familiar to anyone who has managed a large 1:1 program without purpose-built tools:

No Centralized Tracking System

Each school maintained its own device spreadsheet. Some schools used Google Sheets, others used Excel files stored on local drives. There was no district-wide view of who had what. When a

student transferred between schools, which happened frequently in Union City, their device record often did not follow them.

Paper-Based Distribution

Devices were distributed using printed sign-out sheets during homeroom. Handwriting was illegible, serial numbers were transposed, and sheets were frequently lost or damaged. By November of the first year, several schools had already lost their original distribution records.

No Early Warning System

Missing devices were not discovered until June collection. A device that went missing in October was effectively gone for eight months before anyone knew. By that point, recovery was nearly impossible. Students had moved, devices had been damaged beyond recognition, or they had simply disappeared.

Inconsistent Accountability

Each building had its own approach to device responsibility. Some schools required parent signatures and held orientation sessions. Others handed out devices with minimal documentation. The inconsistency meant that the loss rate varied wildly by building, from under 2% at the best schools to over 12% at the worst.

The Implementation Journey

After the difficult first-year audit, the IT Director presented the data to the superintendent and the board of education. The message was clear: without systemic changes, the 1:1 program was financially unsustainable and educationally inequitable, because every lost device meant a student starting the next year without one.

The district invested the summer in a comprehensive overhaul of its device management approach, built around three strategic pillars.

Pillar 1: Centralized Digital Tracking with 1:1 Assignment

The district replaced all school-level spreadsheets with a centralized **1:1 device assignment** platform. Every device in the district was registered with its serial number, asset tag, model, purchase date, and warranty status. Every student was linked to a specific device through a formal digital assignment.

The rollout process for the new system included:

1. **Summer inventory reconciliation:** Over three weeks, the IT team physically inventoried every device in the district, scanning asset tags and reconciling them against Google Admin enrollment records. This painful but necessary step established a clean baseline.
2. **Student roster integration:** The platform was synced with the district's SIS, so student enrollment changes, transfers, and withdrawals automatically triggered device management workflows.
3. **Building-level training:** Each school's front office staff and tech liaison received hands-on training on the new check-out and check-in procedures.
4. **Barcode scanning at every handoff:** Every device distribution, return, transfer, and repair intake was recorded by scanning the asset tag barcode. No more handwritten serial numbers.

The impact was immediate. Within the first month of the new school year, assignment accuracy jumped from 78% to 98.4%. For the first time, the IT director could pull up a real-time report showing exactly which student had which device, across all 22 buildings, in seconds.

Pillar 2: Parent Accountability Agreements and Community Engagement

Union City recognized that device accountability could not stop at the school door. In a take-home 1:1 program, parents and guardians are the primary custodians of the device for the majority of the hours it is in use. The district implemented a comprehensive parent engagement strategy.

Digital Parent Accountability Agreements: Every family was required to sign a digital accountability agreement before receiving a device. The agreement was available in English and Spanish and covered:

- The family's responsibility for the device while it is at home
- Procedures for reporting damage, loss, or theft within 48 hours
- A reasonable repair fee schedule for negligent damage (capped to avoid creating hardship)
- Storage and care expectations, including not leaving the device in a car or unsecured location
- The district's right to remotely lock or locate the device if it is reported missing

Back-to-School Device Orientations: The district held parent orientation sessions at every building during the first two weeks of school. Sessions were conducted in both English and Spanish and covered basic device care, how to charge the Chromebook, how to connect to Wi-Fi at home, and what to do if something goes wrong. Attendance was incentivized with free school supplies and refreshments.

Automated Parent Notifications: When a device had not connected to the school network for 10 consecutive school days, an automated text message and email were sent to the parent or guardian in their preferred language. The message included the device serial number, the student's name, and a phone number to call for assistance. This simple step recovered dozens of devices each month that would have otherwise gone undetected.

Pillar 3: Regular Audits and Proactive Loss Prevention

Rather than waiting until June to discover problems, Union City implemented a quarterly audit cycle:

- **September:** Full distribution and assignment verification. Every device checked out, every assignment confirmed.
- **December:** Mid-year spot audit. Random 20% sample of devices physically verified at each building. Any discrepancies investigated immediately.
- **March:** Pre-collection planning audit. Identify all devices flagged as missing, overdue, or damaged. Begin early recovery efforts for missing devices.
- **June:** Full collection with condition assessment and damage documentation.

Between audits, automated monitoring ran continuously:

- **7-day no-connect alert:** Teacher notified to follow up with the student
- **14-day escalation:** Building admin and parent notified
- **30-day action:** Device placed in lost mode with return instructions displayed on screen

The district also implemented a building-level accountability dashboard. Each principal received a monthly report showing their building's device loss rate, damage rate, repair turnaround, and comparison to the district average. This created healthy competition and gave principals the data they needed to address issues proactively.

The Results: Quantified Impact

The results over three years speak for themselves:

Device Loss

- **Year 1 (pre-implementation):** 742 devices lost, 6.2% loss rate, $222,600 in replacement costs
- **Year 2:** 298 devices lost, 2.3% loss rate, $89,400 in replacement costs
- **Year 3:** 186 devices lost, 1.4% loss rate, $55,800 in replacement costs

That is a **75% reduction** in device loss from Year 1 to Year 3, with cumulative savings of over **$420,000** in avoided replacement costs across the two years.

Additional Metrics

- **Assignment accuracy:** Improved from 78% to 99.2%
- **Time to detect missing device:** Reduced from average of 180+ days to 12 days
- **End-of-year collection rate:** Improved from 93.8% to 98.9%
- **Average repair turnaround:** Reduced from 22 days to 4.1 days
- **Parent accountability agreement completion:** 96.7% of families signed the digital agreement
- **Unreported damage backlog:** Reduced from 1,300 devices at end of Year 1 to fewer than 200 at end of Year 3

Financial Summary

The district's total investment in the new device management system, including software licensing, training time, and the summer inventory reconciliation effort, was approximately $85,000 in Year 2. The savings from reduced device loss in that same year were $133,200 compared to Year 1 loss rates. By Year 3, the annual savings exceeded $166,800, making the return on investment roughly 2:1 per year and accelerating.

Challenges Overcome

Union City's transformation was not without obstacles. Understanding the challenges they faced helps other districts prepare for their own implementation.

Language and Communication Barriers

With over 90% of families speaking Spanish at home, every communication, including accountability agreements, notification messages, orientation materials, and help desk scripts, needed to be fully bilingual. The district invested in professional translation rather than relying on machine translation, ensuring that nuance and tone were preserved. Automated notifications were configured to send in the family's preferred language based on SIS data.

Staff Resistance to New Processes

Some building staff initially resisted the new check-out procedures, viewing the barcode scanning and digital workflows as extra work on top of their existing responsibilities. The district addressed this by demonstrating the time savings: once staff experienced a check-out that took 30 seconds

instead of 3 minutes of handwriting and filing, resistance faded quickly. By mid-October of Year 2, every building was fully compliant with the new process.

High Student Mobility

Union City's student mobility rate is significantly higher than the state average. Students frequently transfer between buildings within the district, and families move in and out of the city regularly. The centralized tracking system turned this from a device management nightmare into a manageable workflow: when a student transferred, their device assignment followed them automatically. When a student withdrew from the district, an alert triggered the device recovery process immediately rather than waiting until end of year.

Budget Constraints

As a Title I district, Union City does not have the luxury of large technology budgets. The investment in better device management had to be justified rigorously. The IT director built the business case by projecting the cost of continuing at the Year 1 loss rate versus the cost of the new system. The projection showed break-even within five months of implementation, which was persuasive enough to secure board approval.

Advice for Similar Districts

Based on their experience, Union City's IT leadership offers the following advice to other large urban districts facing similar device management challenges:

1. **Start with a clean inventory.** You cannot manage what you cannot count. Invest the time to physically verify every device before launching any new system. It is painful, but it is the foundation everything else rests on.
2. **Engage families as partners, not adversaries.** Parent accountability works best when it is framed as a partnership. Orientations, bilingual communication, and reasonable (not punitive) fee structures all signal that the district respects families and wants to work with them.
3. **Automate early detection.** The single highest-ROI investment is an automated alert system that flags missing devices within days, not months. Most devices flagged within two weeks are recoverable. Most devices flagged after six months are not.
4. **Make data visible at every level.** When principals see their building's device health metrics compared to other buildings, they take ownership. When the board sees district-wide trends, they support continued investment. Transparency drives accountability at every level.
5. **Do not underestimate the cultural shift.** Technology alone does not solve the problem. You need buy-in from principals, teachers, parents, and students. Invest in communication and training at least as much as you invest in software.

6. **Plan for mobility.** Urban districts have high student turnover. Your system must handle transfers and withdrawals gracefully and automatically, or you will be chasing devices all year.

The Broader Impact

Beyond the financial savings, Union City's improved device management has had ripple effects across the district:

- **Instructional equity:** With loss rates under 2%, nearly every student starts the year with a working device. The days of 40 students sharing 25 Chromebooks while the IT team scrambles for replacements are over.
- **IT staff morale:** Technicians who previously spent most of their time on device detective work now focus on proactive maintenance, professional development support, and infrastructure improvements.
- **Board and community confidence:** The district can demonstrate responsible stewardship of public technology investments with hard data, which has strengthened support for continued technology funding.
- **Model for the region:** Union City has hosted visiting delegations from three neighboring districts looking to replicate their approach, positioning the district as a leader in K-12 technology management.

See How AuthGuard Can Help Your District

Union City's **full case study** is available on our website, along with implementation guides and ROI calculators that can help you project the impact for your own district. Whether you manage 5,000 devices or 50,000, the principles are the same: centralized tracking, automated detection, family engagement, and data-driven accountability.

AuthGuard's **1:1 device assignment** platform is purpose-built for districts like Union City, large, diverse, budget-conscious, and committed to putting a working device in every student's hands.

Request a demo to see how AuthGuard can help your district achieve similar results and start reducing device loss this school year.